## PHILIPPINE DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION 2015 Performance Scorecard

		Componen	t		Agreed		PDIC Subn	nission	Valida	tion		
		Objective / Measure	Scale Target	2015 Accomplish ment	Rating	GCG Evaluation Rating		Supporting Documents	Remarks			
	SO 1	To Maintain the I	Deposit Insurance F	und (DIF)	to Adequa	tely Cover D	eposit Insuran	ce and Ba	ank Resolutio	n		-
FINANCIAL	SM 1	Ratio of DIF to Estimated Insured Deposits (EID) (based on a 12- month average)*	(DIF/EID) x 100%  Range of Target DIF to EID Ratio:* 20%: 5.0% and above 18%: 4.75%- 4.99% 16%: 4.5%-4.74% 0%: Below 4.5%	20%	Simple Average	5%	5.6%	20%	5.6%	20%	Internal Audit validation of 12-month average DIF and EID. Monthly Statement of Financial Position for the determinatio n of DIF	Validated by checking monthly amounts of the Deposit Insurance Fund in the enclosed financial statements divided by the Estimated Insured Deposits.  Determination of monthly EID is from the bank's submission of the Financial Reporting Package (FRP) for consolidation of the whole Banking System by PDIC
		Sub-Total		20%				20%		20%		

		Componen	t		Agreed	d	PDIC Subr	nission	Valida	tion		
		Objective / Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	2015 Target	2015 Accomplish ment	Rating	GCG Evaluation	Rating	Supporting Documents	Remarks
	SO 2	To Sustain Clien	t Satisfaction Level									
CUSTOMERS / STAKEHOLDERS	SM 2	Establishment of a Baseline rating on Customer/Stake holder Satisfaction based on a survey designed and verified by an independent third party	Actual Accomplishment	5%	Simple Average	Establish baseline- No lower than Satisfactor y	4.59 (Very Satisfactory)	5%	4.55 (Very Satisfactor y)	5%	Internal Audit validation report. Actual monthly report from DAP for October, November and December	Rating Scale: 4.50-5.00 (Very Satisfied) 3.50-4.49 (Satisfied) 2.50-3.49 (Split Opinion) 1.50-2.49 (Dissatisfied) 1.00-1.49 (Very dissatisfied)  The initial rating of 4.59 covers only the months of October and November. It was revised to 4.55 after the submission of the December 2015 survey results last 21 April 2016.
		Sub-Total		5%				5%		5%		
<sub>N</sub>	SO 3	To Settle Valid De	eposit Insurance Cl	aims Prom	ptly							
PROCESS	SM 3	For accounts w/ balances of ≤ P50,000:	Number of valid deposits paid within TAT/Number of	12.5%	Simple Average	90% within TAT	100%	12.5%	100%	12.5%	Internal Audit validation report.	D.

	Component			Agreed	ł	PDIC Subn	nission	Valida	tion		
	Objective / Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	2015 Target	2015 Accomplish ment	Rating	GCG Evaluation	Rating	Supporting Documents	
	% of valid deposits paid within TAT	valid deposits eligible for outright payment								Sample documents showing the date of	Validation is based on the report of the Internal Audit Group
	Banks with ≤ 20 branches				12 WD	100%		100%		takeover and receiving copies of dispatch of checks from Philippine Postal Corp.	Of 48,138 claims, 100% are within TAT. Actual TAT ranges from 4 to 11
	Banks with > 20 branches				19 WD	NA		NA			Philippine
	Multi-unit bank w/ conditions				22 WD	NA .		NA			Rating is determined by the actual TAT from takeover date to the last date of transmittal of checks to PPC and then averaging it with other banks
SM 4	For accounts w/ balances of > P50,000:	Number of valid claims settled within	12.5%	Simple	90%	00.5%	10.50/	00.5%	40.50/	Internal Audit validation	
OW 4	% of valid claims settled within TAT	TAT/Number of valid filed claims	12.5%	Average	within TAT	90.5%	12.5%	90.5%	12.5%	report. Bank Takeover Report	Validation is based on the report of the Internal Audit
	Banks with ≤ 20 branches	-			19 WD	90.5%		90.5%		showing date of takeover	Group  Of 8,463 claims,

Component			Agreed		PDIC Subn	nission	Valida	tion		
Objective / Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	2015 Target	2015 Accomplish ment	Rating	GCG Evaluation	Rating	Supporting Documents	Remarks
Banks with > 20 branches				44 WD	NA		NA		and dates of field	7,662 are within - TAT. Of these
Multi-unit bank w/ conditions				50 WD	NA		NA		settlement of claims	7,662 claims, 6,619 are from banks with > 20 branches while 1, 043 are eligible for outright payment due to policy changes. PDIC failed to meet TAT for Farmers' RB due to extensive fraudulent scheme, committed by bank employees (Cases were filed).  Rating is determined by the actual TAT from takeover date to the last date of FOCS and then averaging it with other banks
Sub-Total		25%				25%		25%		

		Componer	nt		Agreed	ı	PDIC Subr	nission	Valida	tion		
		Objective / Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	2015 Target	2015 Accomplish ment	Rating	GCG Evaluation	Rating	Supporting Documents	Remarks
	SM 7	Number of Projects of Distribution filed with the Liquidation Court based on the number of closed banks	Absolute number	10%	Simple Average	40 closed banks	40 closed banks	10%	40 closed banks	10%	Internal Audit validation. Actual court proceedings and Motions for Approval	POD filed with the Liquidation Court was validated against the Motion for Approval of the FPOD/PPOD of the Assets and Termination of the Liquidation Proceeding sent through mail. Copies were validated during the visit.
		Sub-Total		20%				20%		20%		
I	SO 6	Standards	nuous Improvement	in Proces	ses and S	ystems Cons	sistent with Po	licies, Ex	ternal Regulat	ions and	Internationally	-Accepted
LEARNING AND GROWTH	SM 8	Enterprise Risk Management	Actual Accomplishment	4%	Simple Average	Risk mitigation measures	100% achieved	4%	100% achieved	4%	Board Resolution approving the Enterprise Risk Managemen t (ERM) and actual ERM framework	Evidence of this is the creation of the Enterprise Risk Management Framework where all risks and risk mitigating measures were identified. Risk identification and mitigation are all systems-based.

T	Componer	nt		Agreed	d	PDIC Subn	nission	Valida	tion		
	Objective / Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	2015 Target	2015 Accomplish ment	Rating	GCG Evaluation	Rating	Supporting Documents	Remarks
SM 9	MOU on Cross- border Agreements with other Deposit Insurance Agencies	Actual Accomplishment	2%	Simple Average	Signed MOU with at least one Deposit Insurance Agency	100% achieved	2%	100% achieved	2%	Copies of signed MOU with United Kingdom and Indonesia	The MOUs are formal agreement for sharing of knowledge and best practices. Long-term plan is to expand the scope of the agreement.
	Sub-Total		6%				6%		6%		
SO 7	To Deliver Qualit	y Public Service Th	rough Hig	hly Compe	etent Workfo	rce					
SM 10	Establishment of a Competency Framework	Actual Accomplishment	4%	Simple Average	Board- Approved Competen cy Framewor k	100% achieved	4%	100% achieved	4%	Board Resolution approving the Competency Framework. Copy of Competency Framework and the identified Core Competenci es	The Competency Framework was approved by the PDIC Board last December 2015. PDIC is currently preparing the procurement for th Phase II of the project, which will include the determination of position profiles and job descriptions. PDIC are on track with their 2016 target.
	Sub-Total		4%				4%		4%		2010 101901
	TOTAL		100%				100%		100%		